

# R.V. FRANKLIN

## NATIONAL FACILITY OCEANOGRAPHIC RESEARCH VESSEL

### RESEARCH SUMMARY

#### CRUISE FR 08/90

|                     |      |           |                 |
|---------------------|------|-----------|-----------------|
| Departed Lae:       | 0800 | Tuesday   | 02-October-1990 |
| Arrived Townsville: | 0900 | Wednesday | 17-October-1990 |

#### Principal Investigators

Dr. Denis Mackey  
Dr. John Volkman  
Dr. Edward Butler

CSIRO Division of Oceanography

Dr. John Parslow  
CSIRO Division of Fisheries

#### INORGANIC AND ORGANIC CARBON CYCLES IN EQUATORIAL WATERS

For further information contact

ORV Operations Manager  
c/- CSIRO Division of Oceanography  
GPO Box 1538, Hobart, Tas. 7001  
Telephone (002) 20 6222  
Telex AA 57182



R.V. FRANKLIN IS OWNED AND OPERATED BY CSIRO

## ITINERARY

|                     |      |           |                 |
|---------------------|------|-----------|-----------------|
| Departed Lae:       | 0800 | Tuesday   | 02-October-1990 |
| Arrived Townsville: | 0900 | Wednesday | 17-October-1990 |

## CRUISE NARRATIVE

*Franklin* arrived in Lae on Monday October 5 at the end of cruise FR07/90. Monday afternoon was spent loading the containers and starting to set up the equipment to be used during FR08/90. After leaving Lae at 0800 on Tuesday October 2 we steamed for 36 hours to the first station, north of Manus Island (1°30'S, 147°E). There was barely enough time to set up the equipment and some equipment was not operational for another day or so. Stations were then occupied at 1°S and at one degree intervals along 147°E to 5°N. No samples were collected from 5°N, 147°E to 5°N, 155°E. On the second transect, along 155°E, samples were collected at one degree intervals to 5°S. After skirting around Buka Island at a distance of 12 nm, we occupied two more stations at 8°S and 10°S and then steamed to Townsville, occupying one further station on route through the Coral Sea.

Generally the weather was overcast with 10 - 15 knot winds and occasional gusts to 25 knots. Although we had thought that time would be at a premium, this was not the case and we completed the cruise with time to spare even though the number of hydrocasts was greater than originally planned. This was due to (i) the decision to limit most casts to 300 m, (ii) the improved turnaround time achieved by being able to leave the fluorometer mounted on the CTD for nearly all the casts, (iii) not having to charge the fluorometer power while on station, (iv) the use of a pressure system to filter large volumes of water directly from the Niskin bottles and, most importantly (v) the dedication and enthusiasm of those on watch.

No time was lost through bad weather and *Franklin* had no difficulty in steaming at 12 knots between stations.

## SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM

### Sampling Strategy

Continuous underway measurements were made of temperature, salinity, pH, *In situ* fluorescence and particle size. For vertical profiles, we adopted the following sampling strategy. The CTD was fitted with a pH sensor, a light meter and a SeaTech fluorometer and power supply. The light meter was pressure rated to 300 m and since we were mainly interested in the euphotic zone, it was decided that the light meter would generally be left on the CTD and that casts would be to a depth of 300 m. At each station, there were three types of cast as listed below:

(Type 1)

Regular station

Fluorometer on

Max depth 300 m

nutrients, salinity, oxygen, copper complexing capacity (CuCC), iodine, alkalinity, particle size, Chl (a, b and c), flow cytometry (FC), bacteria (Bac and CNbac), NH<sub>4</sub>

(Type 2)

Lipid and pigment station

Fluorometer on

Max depth 300 m (approximately 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 m)

Sample depths determined from fluorometer lipids, pigments, phytoplankton, prokaryotes

(Type 3)  
Biology 'dawn station'  
Light meter on  
Max depth 300 m  
nutrients, salinity, productivity, particle size and Chl (a, b and c),  $\text{NH}_4$

Type 3 stations were sampled approximately once a day within 3 h of sunrise. For some of the Type 1 or 2 casts, the CTD was lowered to 1000 m before sampling in the top 300 m. Trace metal (TM) samples were collected from teflon coated Niskin bottles at a few selected locations.

On the transect along 155°E, additional casts were included to sample to the bottom at 5°N, the equator and 5°S. Trace metals were also collected to the bottom at these three stations. Prior to these casts, the zinc anodes were removed from the CTD and the zinc weights replaced by stainless steel. In order to minimise contamination from the CTD, the bottles were triggered as the CTD was being lowered at 10 m min<sup>-1</sup>. The bottles closed approximately 8 m below the nominal sampling depth. For obvious reasons, this procedure was not used for samples collected 10 m from the bottom.

## RESULTS

We can only report on some of the preliminary results at this stage as many of the samples were collected for subsequent laboratory analyses. A number of new experimental techniques were tested on the cruise and a brief comment on these procedures and some of the experimental data is given below under the headings of the cruise objectives. Detailed descriptions of some of the new procedures is given in the appendix.

### Cruise Objectives

- 1) *To study the chemical and physical processes leading to increased biomass along the equator at the western boundary of the Pacific Ocean.*

Samples were collected for the analyses of most category 1 and category 2 parameters as defined in the JGOFS sampling strategy. We used a new small volume technique for the determination of productivity by the uptake of  $^{14}\text{C}$ . The productivity was fairly low with maximum rates ranging between 0.29 and 1.01 mg C m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. These values are in general agreement with results obtained in the Coral Sea by workers from AIMS. Samples were also collected for the determination of productivity by the uptake of  $^{15}\text{N}$  as ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3$ ). These samples will be analysed by colleagues from overseas. Interpretation of the data is complicated by the very low ambient levels of these nutrients.

Along the 155°E transect, the maximum concentrations of cyanobacteria were generally found above the maximum concentration of phytoplankton as determined by *in situ* fluorescence. Samples for the determination of concentrations of bacteria and additional cyanobacteria samples will be analysed in Hobart. Some samples will be sent overseas for analysis by flow cytometry.

- 2) *To measure vertical and horizontal profiles of pH, carbon dioxide and fluorescence in equatorial and near-equatorial waters characterised by elevated phytoplankton biomass.*

The underway system for the continuous measurement of pH, T, S and *in situ* fluorescence performed well throughout this cruise and the preceding cruise, FR07/90. Samples were collected regularly for the determination of chlorophyll a (to calibrate the Turner fluorometer) and alkalinity (for the calculation of  $p\text{CO}_2$  from pH). The *in situ* surface fluorescence was extremely low and, on some occasions, was undetectable. The alkalinity of surface waters can be estimated to within about 5%, and using a specific alkalinity of 0.1197, the values of  $p\text{CO}_2$  in the surface waters ranged from about 300 to 370  $\mu\text{atm}$ . In the Bismarck

Sea,  $p\text{CO}_2$  values were about 320 to 330  $\mu\text{atm}$  which agrees well with discrete measurements of  $p\text{CO}_2$  made during FR07/90. Final calculations of  $p\text{CO}_2$  will be made using interpolated values of alkalinity and values of pH that have been corrected to allow for electrode drift.

The new SeaTech fluorometer performed well and gave a good signal to noise even in shallow and deep waters containing little chlorophyll. At maximum sensitivity, the nominal output of the instrument was 3  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for full scale output. Three casts were made so that the instrument could be compared with Variosens III. The raw data is shown in Figure 1, and it suggests that the SeaTech is vastly superior to Variosens. The output of Variosens is approximately logarithmic and when the output is linearised, using an algorithm derived from laboratory experiments to calibrate the instrument, a good correlation is observed between the two instruments (Figure 2). The linearised Variosens output can almost be superimposed on the SeaTech output (Figure 3).

The advantages of the SeaTech instrument are:

- (i) the instrument has a better signal to noise ratio as can be seen from the lower noise level in deep and shallow waters (Figure 3),
- (ii) the output is linear which makes it far easier to visualise changes in the concentration of chlorophyll *a* (Figure 1),
- (iii) the instrument has a shorter time constant and can therefore detect fine structure that is not apparent in the output from Variosens III,
- (iv) while Variosens is pressure rated to 850 m, the SeaTech is pressure rated to 3000 m and so can be left on the rosette for most of the deeper casts,
- (v) it is much smaller and takes one position on the rosette compared with two for the Variosens (it may be possible to mount the power supply above the SeaTech which would save another position) and finally,
- (vi) it consumes far less power than the Variosens and the battery supply will last at least four times as long.

From Figure 2, it is seen that for a concentration of 1  $\mu\text{g/L}$  of chlorophyll *a* (as determined from the laboratory calibration of Variosens III) the output of the SeaTech is about 35% full scale which is in excellent agreement with the specifications of 3  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for a full scale signal. However a least squares regression of SeaTech signal against chlorophyll *a*, determined on samples collected during the cruise gave the result:

$$\text{SeaTech (\%)} = 67.5 \times (\text{Chl } a) + 1.9 \quad (r^2 = 0.881)$$

i.e. the output of the SeaTech is twice that expected from laboratory calibrations. There were significant concentrations of chlorophyll *a* in the water column and, for some samples, there was more chlorophyll *b* than chlorophyll *a*. A multiple regression of SeaTech output against chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b* and chlorophyll (*c1* + *c2*) did not lead to a significant improvement in the correlation coefficient and gave the relationship:

$$\text{SeaTech (\%)} = 59.7 \times (\text{Chl } a) + 9.6 \times (\text{Chl } b) + 8.2 \times (\text{Chl } c1 + c2) + 2.2 \quad (r^2 = 0.884)$$

3) *To use chemical methods, particularly lipid and pigment analyses, for the characterisation of the community structure within different water masses.*

As mentioned above, some samples have already been analysed for cyanobacteria. Additional samples remain to be counted for cyanobacteria and bacteria. Samples will also be sent overseas for analysis of the abundance and fluorescence characteristics of prochlorophytes and cyanobacteria by flow cytometry. Water was also collected for identification of phytoplankton species present.

We had intended to characterise pigments by HPLC during the cruise but, as mentioned elsewhere, the equipment failed at the start of the cruise. Filters have been preserved for laboratory analyses of lipids by GC-MS and pigments by HPLC.

4) *To test new sensors for the in situ determination of oxygen, pH etc.*

Apart from the deployment and calibration of the SeaTech fluorometer, the only other new sensor tested during this cruise was the pH electrode mounted on the CTD. The system has an improved reproducibility and signal to noise ratio over earlier electrodes but the response seems dominated by pressure or temperature effects. Laboratory experiments in Hobart, using high pressure equipment, should enable us to resolve the individual effects of pressure and temperature on the electrode system.

New polycarbonate sampling bottles were also tested during this cruise. There were problems with leaking and the closure systems will need modification. However the external closure mechanisms show considerable promise for the collection of clean samples. We will need to look at ways of minimising contamination from the end of the CTD cable and from the overhead hydraulic system.

## PERSONNEL

| Ship's Crew |                | Scientific Staff               |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Master      | Neil Cheshire  | Denis Mackey (Chief Scientist) |
| Mate        | Dick Dougal    | John Volkman                   |
| 2nd Mate    | Mike McAuley   | Ed Butler                      |
| Chief Eng.  | Peter Noble    | Brian Griffiths                |
| Elec. Eng.  | John Davies    | Harry Higgins                  |
| Bosun       | Jannick Hansen | Bob Beattie                    |
| AB          | Bluey Hughes   | Jeanette O'Sullivan            |
| AB          | Kris Hallen    | Mark Pretty                    |
| AB          | Norm Marsh     | Erik Madsen                    |
| Greaser     | Paddy McLure   | Ron Plaschke                   |
| Ch. Ste.    | Ray Clarke     | Dave Terhell                   |
| Ch. Cook    | Gary Hall      |                                |
| 2nd Cook    | Bob Clayton    |                                |

## SUMMARY

Despite the difficulties caused by equipment failure and being one staff short (due to illness), we succeeded in achieving nearly all of our research objectives. We developed new experimental procedures and obtained valuable data on the carbon cycle in the Western Equatorial Pacific. The experience gained will greatly enhance our research capabilities on future cruises conducted as part of the JGOFS program.

## **APPENDIX**

### **PARAMETERS MEASURED**

#### **Primary productivity**

Primary production ( $^{14}\text{C}$  incorporation) was measured at 12 stations with a method which uses 7 ml of seawater in a scintillation vial. This was the first time that we had used this method. A new incubator, which allowed production vs. light intensity curves at 7 light intensities from 4 depths to be measured simultaneously, was used on this cruise. In general, the sample replication was quite good. Production rates ( $0.29$  to  $1.01 \text{ mg C m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) were similar to those found in the Coral Sea.

A technique using  $^{15}\text{N}$  to obtain estimates of new and regenerated production was tried on this cruise. The technique involved adding  $^{15}\text{N}$  as ammonia or nitrate to samples, incubating for about 6 hours, and then filtering through GF/F filters. This was done at 8 stations. Since the technique is still being developed, the results should be treated as preliminary. The major problem encountered was the violent movement of the bottles in the deck incubation due to the rolling of the ship. This can be easily fixed with some sort of bottle restraint in the incubator. The samples have been frozen, and will be sent to the Plymouth Marine Laboratories (U.K.) for analysis.

#### **Particle size analysis**

Particle size profiles were made at all of the productivity stations, and no problems were encountered except that one channel on the Division of Fisheries particle size analyzer became inoperational. Quantitatively, the particulate organic carbon calculated from the particle size data in the equatorial region was less than at stations south of the equator. The slopes of the particle size spectra are quite different from slopes seen in the Subtropical convergence in January 1990, suggesting different dynamics in the two regions.

#### **Underway particle size analysis**

Underway particle size logging was carried out nearly continuously throughout the cruise. On 5 October, it was noticed that the size class thresholds had been set incorrectly, and these were then corrected. There is a continuing problem of low flow through the sensor which will necessitate the sensor being recalibrated on return to Hobart before biomass results may be calculated. A peristaltic pump needs to be installed in the water supply line to deliver water at the optimum velocity for underway particle size analysis.

#### **Ammonium analyses - samples**

201 samples and standards were analysed for ammonia by a manual spectrophotometric method during the cruise. Almost all of these measurements were made in triplicate.

126 seawater samples were collected from the top 6 depths of every hydro station. These were immediately frozen for later analysis for ammonia or other nitrogen species determination in the shore-based laboratory

#### **Iodine analyses**

255 samples of seawater were collected from nearly every station and depth from which nutrient samples were taken. They were immediately filtered through an  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  filter, and refrigerated for subsequent laboratory analysis in Hobart.

#### **Underway pH**

The underway pH system was run throughout the cruise. It was monitored frequently, and the pH calibrated every two days using pH '7.3' and pH '8.8' Smith and Hood buffers, and also Hansen's Tris buffer. There were occasional problems with particles reducing the flow rate through the flow cell (solved by back-flushing), some fouling of the inner surfaces of the clear plastic tubing - observed as a yellow-green film, and the occasional inconsistency in calibration results (see elsewhere). Otherwise the system performed well.

## Pigments and Lipids - sampling

Seawater samples were collected at most stations in 10 L PVC Niskin Bottles deployed on a 12 bottle Neil Brown Mark IIIB CTD. Casts were generally to 300 meters with samples collected at 125, 100, 75, 50 and 25 meters for later analysis of the pigments by HPLC. Additional samples were collected at 85, 95 and 105 meters in waters where the fluorescence maximum as shown by the SeaTech fluorometer was deeper in the water column. Fewer samples were collected for lipid analysis due to the larger volumes of water required (10 L of water for HPLC analysis of pigments, cf. 30-50 L for lipid analyses). Water was collected at the fluorescence maximum at most stations and at 50, 75, 100 and 125 meters at 5°N, 0° and 5°S along 155°E.

A limited study of the distribution of pigments in different size fractions was also carried out. Water from the Niskin bottle was first passed through a 2 µm nuclepore filter and then through a 0.7 µm GF/F glass fibre filter. At one station, a 0.8 µm Millex filter (25 mm diameter) was used between the 2.0 µm and GF/F filters. Filters were treated as described above.

Water samples for determination of the abundance and fluorescence characteristics of prochlorophytes and cyanobacteria by flow cytometry were collected at most stations. A 4 mL portion was collected in 5 mL cryovials and immediately fixed with 20 µL of 40% glutaraldehyde. After 10 minutes, the samples were transferred to liquid nitrogen. One litre water samples were also collected for identification of the phytoplankton species present. These were collected in plastic bottles to which 5 mL of modified Lugols solution was added as a fixative. The samples were stored in a refrigerator.

## Pigments and Lipids - results

An excellent range of samples for pigment and lipid analysis was collected which should provide much new data on phytoplankton populations and carbon cycles in these waters. These samples should help identify new pigment and lipid markers for the different phytoplankton groups and assess the relative importance of prokaryotic picoplankton organisms. These data will be compared with cell counts of cyanobacteria and prochlorophytes determined by flow cytometry (by Dr. Rob Olson, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution) and of cyanobacteria determined by fluorescence microscopy (by Harry Higgins).

The patchiness in phytoplankton community structure and abundance suggested by earlier work was not observed. It seems likely that some of the earlier variation noted was due to sampling at depths above and below the chlorophyll maximum. The increase of chlorophyll *a* to chlorophyll *b* ratios with depth clearly shows that the phytoplankton species composition does vary significantly with depth.

The size fractionation experiments confirmed that much of the chlorophyll is associated with particles smaller than 2 µm. Based on previous data, this probably reflects the importance of prokaryotic biomass (cyanobacteria and prochlorophytes) in these waters. Much of the chlorophyll *b* is probably derived from prochlorophytes, particularly below 75 m.

## Bacteria

A total of 228 samples were collected - every depth of all the type 1 hydro casts and 1 deep cast of all the intensive stations. All processing will be post-cruise in Hobart.

## Cyanobacteria

A total of 194 samples were collected - every depth of all type 1 hydro stations. About half of the filters were counted on board using an epifluorescence microscope - the rest will be processed post cruise in Hobart.

The results of the samples so far counted (155E transect) indicate that the subsurface cyanobacterial maximum was generally above the microalgal / *in situ* fluorescence maximum.

## **Pigment HPLC**

After an initial adjustment of the maximum pressure limiting potentiometer the fluid / mechanical component of the system functioned flawlessly. However, a problem developed with the lamp / lamp power supply of the 990 diode-array detector. Consequently no samples were processed on board. All samples collected (type 2 casts) were thus frozen in liquid nitrogen for post cruise processing in Hobart.

If the HPLC system is to be used on future cruises, we need to improve the storage capacity for solvents. During this cruise we needed to find storage for 32 winchesters of solvent.

## **Sound proof box (ultra-sonic water bath)**

The lead vinyl sound insulation used by the workshop was extremely efficient. It would be useful if the commercial sound reducing box bought for the ultra-sonic probe could also be lined with this material.

## **CTD chlorophyll**

Chlorophylls were sampled from all station sites on the hydro cast at 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 and 150 metres. Chlorophylls were also sampled from most Type 3 casts. A total of 192 samples were collected for the determination of chlorophylls *a*, *b*, and (*c1*+*c2*) and 157 samples were analysed whilst at sea.

## **Turner calibration**

DELP readings were very low throughout the cruise and samples covering a wide range of chlorophyll values were hard to collect. The samples were collected from the Turner outlet in the GP lab. The water was collected in a 5 L container and filtered through a GF/F filter using a water pump. The pressure difference was kept low. Six of the samples (out of 16) were analysed at sea.

## **Copper complexing capacity (CuCC) and trace metals (TM)**

CuCC samples were collected from 5°N to 5°S along 155°E. At the intensive station at the equator, duplicate samples were collected from the TM bottles to run a check. For the other stations the samples were collected from the hydro casts (Type 1).

## **COMPUTING REPORT**

### **FR08/90 Data logging software**

There were no VAX failures during the cruise. All underway data, except for 1 TUR file on each of the 13th & 14th October, appears to have been successfully VAXed.

### **Meteorology**

A new version of the MET logging software was installed. The package now:

- \* Can optionally output the max and/or minimum values of any channel. e.g. it currently outputs wind gust speed & direction.
- \* Creates a log file containing a copy of the .OPT file and the output file 'channel numbers' for any derived data such as corrected windspeed and wind gust speed.
- \* Gets the ship's speed & heading from the gyro instead of from NAV.
- \* It now (as of 0844/2/10) correctly handles data 'dropouts' from the pressure sensor if its output is set to 0.
- \* Now (as of 0800/6/10) checks for correct record length instead of just throwing out conversion errors.



Quite a number of errors were slipping through before this (see log file). In almost all cases some, or more typically all, of the data for channels 7 & 8 was missing. This probably explains quite a number of the so-called pressure 'dropouts' as the pressure output would appear to be '0000'. The problem has only affected data for channels 7 to 11. The atmospheric pressure (channel 9) is CORRECT from 0845/2/10 because of the 'dropout' fix. The errors may indicate a problem with the met station, but they appear to be most common when MICRO1 is archiving files, which suggests that MICRO1 is overloaded at times. It will shortly be upgraded to an 11/73.

### **Other problems**

There are still problems with the pressure transducer. A couple of erratic readings were observed at approx 1000/11/10. METLOG was modified to 'catch' them, but no further errors were observed until after 1600/12/10. Bad data was observed for the next 14 or 15 hours. The frequency ranged from 1 every few minutes to a large number per minute. The transducer electronics indicated that its phase lock loop was losing lock. The period of bad data occurred whilst the ship was being subjected to moderately heavy seas. There is no easy way to eliminate the errors, as the bad values can range from 'almost good' to 1150+ HPa. The option file was modified at 1451/13/10 so that the maximum and minimum pressures for each minute are also logged. This will provide a means of eliminating 'bad' minutes. The immediately preceding VAXed data is in F2.MET. We were unable to reproduce with VAX archiving problems that FR07/90 had experienced with the previous version of MET. There was a bug in FRNMON that would have prevented MET data from being broadcast on the ethernet. The FR08/90 corrected wind data is incorrect until 03:25/7/10, as a program error caused the ship's heading to be set to 0.0. The correct ship speed was being used. It should be possible to correct the error by referring to the .GPG file.

### **MET data quality**

The pressure is consistently 8.2 - 8.4 HPa too low. For example, at 1015.2 HPa it reads 1007.0 and at 1013.6 HPa it reads 1005.2.

The temperature errors are not consistent. The Bridge reported that they ranged from 0.0 to 2.0°C high. At 0302/16/10, MET showed 26.3°C for true temperature of 25.1°C.

During FR07/90, the rain gauge was reading in .1 mm instead of mm. The gain was changed for FR08/90, but the offset was left at 9.0 when it should have been 0.9. Therefore, 8.1 mm should be added to all readings in the data files.

### **Navigation & Gyro**

It is no longer necessary to manually initiate logging of the gyro data to file. This is now done automatically whenever NAV logging is started.

### **General Data logging (TSG & pH)**

Several minor changes were made to this package:

- \* Error messages are no longer written to the .GEN data file!
- \* TSGRPH can now recognise normal, non-data records. Genuine errors are now reported on the console and written to TSGRPH.LOG.
- \* Non-essential and debug messages were removed from the startup dialogue.

The MASTER program occasionally generates errors when it tries to read non-existent data from the TSG and pH logging programs. The cause has not been determined.

### **Underway Particle Size Analyzer (PSA)**

This was logged for Brian Griffiths once we sorted out its RS232 interface protocol. We have data for the period 5th October - 0301/9/10 and 0000/10/10 - 1100/11/10. No data was logged after this date possibly due to a 'hangup' in either the Analyzer or the computer's RS232 interface. There needs to be some indication as to whether the PSA is being successfully logged.

## **FRNMON**

A minor bug that prevented multi-frame data (e.g. MET data) from being broadcast on the ethernet was fixed. FRNMON crashed on MICRO6. Similar crashes have been observed on other occasions, usually at startup.

## **MTSPOL**

The previous cruise experienced many problems with incomplete VAXed data, presumably because of repeated VAX disk problems. Priority will have to be given to ensuring that all data is written to the VAX. We finally discovered why the end-of-cruise cleanup takes so long. MTSPOL opens and closes its queue file every time it requires another entry. This is essential for normal operations, but is ridiculous for the E of C, as every entry has to be scanned to see if it has been successfully archived.

## **DELP**

FR07/90 reported repeated DELP hangups & crashes. We experienced no such problems during this cruise. Did they keep leaving the printer off line, as this can cause things to 'hang'? A minor problem that prevented the reporting of CTE events was fixed.

## **ADCP**

On one occasion, DISPLAY said it was using SHIP as a reference. There was plenty of GPS data coming in, and from the graphs & bin 2 printouts it was obvious that GPS was in fact being used!

## **VAX software**

A couple of minor problems were fixed for program DENIS and its associated batch jobs. DENIS should now automatically produce daily files concatenated TSG, pH and TUR data. The program for copying the cruise data handled everything except the backup of the [EXABACK...] directory. It gave an error when it tried to back up its own log file and would retry the backup whenever it was told to continue. The backup of [EXABACK...] to the second tape therefore had to be done manually.

## **Hardware**

The external hard disk on MICRO1 failed briefly. The problem disappeared after the power supply was swapped. It could have been either a power supply or cabling problem. The external RD51 disk on MICRO3 was replaced with a 30 Mb RD52 drive at the end of the cruise. There were no failures on the VAX disks but the legacy of FR07/90's problems remains. The integrity of DU1's file structure is suspect. A verify produced the following errors:

```
FORCEDERROR incl on [butt,current]doppler.dir
^KDELHEADER files marked for delete
^KALLOCSET blocks incorrectly marked free
^KALLOCCLR blocks incorrectly marked allocated
^KBADIRENT invalid file ids
^KALLOCEXT blocks allocated to lost file headers
^KLOSTEXTHDR
```

An analyze/repair was done on the disk at the end of the cruise.

### **Warning**

The Franklin Macintosh has become infected with the WDEF virus. The infection probably occurred during FR07/90. Could all participants in FR07/90 and 08/90, please check any Macintosh diskettes they used during their cruises. Dave Vaudrey will attempt to remove the virus before FR09/90.

## **Work for Mr DEC**

Tape hub on TU80 slips occasionally, giving tape errors

DZ port TTA3: operates, but does strange things with <CTRL Y>'s and HYDRO screens.

GP Lab VT241 is dead (OK in LOCAL but not with turnaround plug).

### **Comments re underway data from FR07/90**

There were many gaps in the TSG, pH and Turner data from this cruise, especially in the VAXed data. There were still gaps, primarily on the 8th & 16th Sept, even after we had recovered the files from the MTSPOL tapes and sorted the data to correct out-of-order files. There are quite a number of gaps in the VAXed data and some of this data seems to be out of order.

Jeff Butt manually taped files that had been missed by MTSPOL early in the cruise. I also picked up some during the end of cruise cleanup. Jeff's & my copies shared a number of data files, but a number weren't common to both.

There appears to have been problems with the logging programs &/or MICRO3 on the 8th & 16th Sept, as a number of short files, which were not archived, were created on these dates. The several VAX crashes would account for some of the gaps but the cause(s) of the remainder are a puzzle. The MTSPOL end of cruise cleanup for FR07/90 was either not run or, what is more likely, MICRO1 was switched off before the cleanup had run to completion. We had to re-run it before we could start MTSPOL for this cruise. The PDP11's also appear to have been switched off before all the logging programs had been terminated. We have produced cleaned-up, sorted files for the .GEN and .TUR data for FR07/90, so the Data Products Group will not have to re-do the job.

## **ELECTRONICS REPORT**

Only equipment and instruments which required attention during the cruise are reported on, all other equipment can be assumed to have performed correctly.

### **CTD and Rosette sampler**

CTD#1 and Rosette sampler #1 were used, and apart from the occasional hiccup from the slip rings and a badly corroded altimeter cable, this worked well for the entire cruise.

After CTD station 61 the CTD was dismantled to fit the Variosens interface card and end cap connector. A separate power supply, run off the cable, should be made to supply all powered external sensors, as it appears that excessive loading of the 12 volt line in addition to inverter noise is interfering with the CTD sensor circuitry.

### **CTD hoist and slip rings**

The CTD hoist is getting old and worn out, the chief engineer informed me that a number of teeth on the gypsy wheel are broken and the hoist needs complete overhaul, he suggested that a new hoist be purchased and the old overhauled and kept in the engine room as a spare.

The slip rings, which were overhauled last port period in Hobart have been removed and stored vertically during a later winch maintenance, contaminating the mercury and causing infrequent glitches in the data stream, a further overhaul will be scheduled for the next Hobart port period.

A sign "keep horizontal at all times" should be placed on the slip ring cover.

### **Variosens III**

This was fitted to the CTD frame 11 October, to facilitate comparison testing with the SeaTech fluorometer. The output of the Variosens is logarithmic but, after being linearised, the 3 x 300 m. dips showed that it gave similar traces to the SeaTech fluorometer although it was noisier.

### **CSIRO pH probe**

The pH Sensor was fitted to the CTD from start of the cruise and appeared to work well, although the data was met with some scepticism, a calibration span check was done 8/10/90 with 9.18 and 4 pH buffers, these indicated correct span, but with an offset of -0.6 pH units.

### **LICOR light sensor**

When the light sensor was fitted, the CTD signal became extremely noisy, tests concluded that the noise was due to excessive loading of the ctd 12 volt supply in conjunction with excessive switching noise from the inverters when both pH and light sensors were employed simultaneously, hence, for the remainder of the cruise, these sensors were employed singularly.

### **SeaTech fluorometer**

The rosette bracket for this could not be located, so it was fitted on the variosens bracket, this unfortunately reduced the available bottle space by one. The fluorometer was, apart for a couple of deep stations, fitted for the entire cruise, after CTD station 9 the sensitivity was increased from x3 to x10 to give better surface resolution.

After CTD station 43 the fluorometer gave indications of severe sensitivity reduction, the cause of this was traced to a badly burnt pin/socket connection on the flash bulb, fortunately, these were the parts Brian Griffiths brought back from his visit to the SeaTech factory earlier this year, so the fluorometer was operational again on CTD station 46.

### **Yew X-Y recorder**

New x,y strings were fitted to this recorder in Lae, as one broke during the previous cruise. The electrostatic platten, which was faulty earlier this year, seems to have dried out, and is now working reasonably.

### **Sippican XBT deck unit**

The 110 volt power on indicator which was faulty from the previous cruise, was replaced.

### **Intech Satnav**

The Intech monitor was faulty from the previous cruise, this was repaired during layover in Lae, but after one days use the instrument failed again, the monitor was replaced with the spare Honeywell monitor, which proved that the fault was not in the monitor, but further back in the video formatting IC (IC38) of the satnav, all IC sockets on that board were cleaned and lubricated and the fault wasn't seen again.

### **Trimble GPS**

For some unknown reason, Satellite No. 21 was disabled from the start of the cruise, after enabling it, we had continuous GPS coverage for about 23 - 24 hours per day.

### **GO block**

I found this stored in the scientific hold in a rather unsatisfactory way, after cleaning and straightening the connector pins, a dummy was fitted and the cable securely stowed, two pieces of 4 x 2 were lashed to the top to protect the electronics when over stacked.

### **Meteorology station**

The problem of occasional abnormal pressure data appears to be a mixture of frequent PLL unlock and micro1 loosing data blocks rather than due to errors in the scanner itself, the pressure offset during this cruise was quite constant at -8.4 HPa. Checking the buffered transducer output with a frequency counter revealed variations of about 1.5 Hz, cycling roughly with ship movement, but no change in frequency when the PLL dropped out. The DELP temperature is, according to the watch keepers, varying by up to two degrees with respect to the mercury thermometer on the monkey island. The Met soft ware had a bug

removed, which caused wrong wind direction, it was discovered as all three wind instruments at one time indicated about 15 kt 310°, but the DELP display gave 12 kt 263° whilst the ship was doing 12.5 kt 090°.

#### **ICOM VHF transceiver**

The master asked me to have a look at this, as it was cutting out after less than ten minutes use. A faulty Xtal XP2 (43.088Mhz) was diagnosed as the problem since it responded well to warm and cold treatment. As no spares were available, an explanatory note with a request for a service manual was left for the Townsville repair company.

#### **HPLC**

Many hours were spent on the Waters 990 Photodiode Array Detector. It is thought that a faulty U/V lamp might be causing the problems, but with no service manuals or spares, not much could be done.

#### **Honeywell monitors**

The Rec room monitor, which the lecci had previously removed as faulty, was repaired.

#### **Non-smokers recreation room**

The radio antenna test of this room revealed that only one outlet (TV) had been installed, a separate outlet for AM/FM radio is required. Available space for the televideo was measured and sketched.

#### **Ship intercom**

The new talk back position at the winch deck control station had been wired by the lecci during previous cruise, so the relay, amplifier and microphone were installed during this trip, it now only requires a horn speaker to be operational.