

data summary

Southern Surveyor Voyage ss2013_t04



Table of Contents

<i>Table of Contents</i>	2
<i>ss2013_t04</i>	3
<i>Title</i>	3
<i>Principal Investigators</i>	3
<i>Ports</i>	3
<i>Date</i>	3
<i>Voyage Track</i>	4
<i>Underway Data</i>	4
Completeness and Data Quality.....	5
Processing Comments.....	5
Final Underway Data.....	6
<i>References</i>	7

ss2013_t04

Title

“Use the EM300 to search and survey HMAS Australia and HMAS Voyager sites”

Principal Investigators

Dr Brad Duncan
NSW State Maritime Archaeologist, Heritage Division
Office of Environment and Heritage
NSW Department of Premier and Cabinet
Locked Bag 5020
Parramatta NSW 2124
Ph: 02 9873 8552
email: brad.duncan@heritage.nsw.gov.au


Ports

Depart: Brisbane 0800hrs on Friday 27 September, 2013
Arrive: Hobart 1000hrs on Thursday 3 October, 2013

Date

26-Sep-2013 21:56:30 to 02-Oct-2013 22:47:25 15 (UTC)

Voyage Track



Underway Data

Navigation data is acquired using the Seapath 200 position and reference unit, which is also differentially corrected by data from the FUGRO DGPS receiver.

The Meteorological data consists of 2 relative humidity and temperature sensors; a barometer, wind sensor, and licor light sensor.

Thermosalinograph data is acquired with a Seabird TSG and remote temperature by SBE 3T. Data from a flow meter is also recorded.

Digital depth data is recorded from a Simrad EK60 sounder.

Data from “IMOS” (Integrated Marine Observing System) sensors are also included. The sensors are port and starboard radiometers and pyranometers, wind speed and direction; rain and rainrate.

See Electronics report for this voyage for instruments used and their serial numbers.

Navigation, meteorological, thermosalinograph, IMOS and depth data are preliminary quality controlled by combining all data from hourly recorded files to 5 second values in a netCDF formatted file. The combined data is referred to as “underway data”.

A combined file was made on 8 Nov 2013 and final by running a Java application, written by Lindsay Pender of CMAR, UwyMerger version 1.8.0 with data time range of 26-Sep-2013 21:56:30 to 02-Oct-2013 22:47:25 15 (UTC).

Completeness and Data Quality

Navigation data (latitude and longitude, speed over ground, ship heading and course over ground); meteorological data (port and starboard air temperature, port and starboard humidity, wind direction and speed, maximum wind gust, light, atmospheric pressure, uncorrected wind direction, rain and speed) and IMOS data (port and starboard radiometers, port and starboard pyranometers, derived wind direction and speed, uncorrected wind direction and speed, rain and rain rate), thermosalinograph (salinity and water temperature) data were evaluated and quality controlled.

Processing Comments

A number of minor discrepancies between the port and starboard air temperature sensors were noted (max differences of about 1.1 degrees, otherwise both sensors gave very close reading with the mean absolute difference of about 0.08 degrees). These occurred usually during periods of rapid temperature increase or decrease. Investigation of these indicated that they have usually occurred when the ship was stationary with little wind or during/following periods of rainfall. This phenomenon has probably come about due to the rapid warming of the ships metal structure and air due to the ship becoming stationary or cooling of the air temperature due to the ship speeding off from stationary or due to the evaporation of rain water around the sensor housing. It is unclear as to why there should be a temperature differential between the port and starboard temperature sensors.

A similar discrepancy (max differences of about 38.6%) between the port and starboard humidity sensors was observed. It should also be noted that the port humidity sensor appears to give a lower reading with the mean absolute difference of about 1.49%. The recorded values are within instrument tolerance.

PAR sensor gave false reading at night time on 01-Oct-2013 08:19:50 to 20:50:55 and 02-Oct-2013 10:16:10 to 11:08:35. These have been set to NaNs and their QG flags set to {'bad','none','operatorFlagged'}.

The courseOG values when the ship is stationary are not true values as the ship is not travelling a course however this is a feature of the current acquisition system. The QC flags have been set as good however this feature should be noted if the values during the stationary periods are to be used.

There were unusual spikes in the courseOG data and these have been set to NaNs and their QG flags set to {'bad','none','operatorFlagged'}.

The optical rain sensor (which provides IMOSRain and IMOSRainRate) was not functional during this voyage. Therefore IMOSRain and IMOSRainRate have been set to NaNs and their QG flags set to {'bad','none','operatorFlagged'}. The main mast rain gauge data (syphon rain gauge) has been accepted as good.

It was noted that values recorded by the IMOS port and starboard Radiometer and Pyranometer had a mean absolute difference of 3.13 and 25.41 W/m² respectively and in particular the port Radiometer values were mostly smaller than the starboard sensor.

No CTDs were performed during this voyage. Therefore the TSG salinity scaling factor of 1.000212662913605 from the previous voyage (ss2013_v05) along with the TSG lag of 27.8517 seconds was applied to the TSG salinity data and the thermosalinograph salinity QC was set to { 'good' , 'manually adjusted' , 'no error' }. The data was then manually cleaned and any bad data was NaNed and their QG flags set to { 'bad' , 'none' , 'operatorFlagged' }

Note: Depth data is no longer processed. The non QCed data is available in the underway data. QCed depth data could be obtained from processed Swath dataset for this voyage. Moreover please note that the EM300 centre beam depth is measured from the transducer rather than below the waterline. The transducer is 6.5m below the waterline.

Final Underway Data

The navigation, meteorological, thermosalinograph, IMOS and depth data will be entered into the CMAR divisional data warehouse. All data timestamps are in UTC.

Filename	Parameters	Resolution
ss2013_t04uwy10.csv	latitude, latitudeQC, longitude, longitudeQC, speedOG, speedOGQC, courseOG, courseOGQC, shipHeading, shipHeadingQC, uncorrWindDir, uncorrWindDirQC, uncorrWindSpeed, uncorrWindSpeedQC, waterDepth, waterDepthQC, portAirTemp, portAirTempQC, stbdAirTemp, stbdAirTempQC, portHumidity, portHumidityQC, stbdHumidity, stbdHumidityQC, windSpeed, windSpeedQC, maxWindGust, maxWindGustQC, windDir, windDirQC, PAR, PARQC, atmPressure, atmPressureQC, waterTemp, waterTempQC, salinity, salinityQC, IMOSStbdRadiometer, IMOSStbdRadiometerQC, IMOSStbdPyranometer, IMOSStbdPyranometerQC, IMOSRainRate, IMOSRainRateQC, IMOSRain, IMOSRainQC, IMOSWindSpeed, IMOSWindSpeedQC, IMOSWindDir, IMOSWindDirQC, IMOSPortRadiometer, IMOSPortRadiometerQC, IMOSPortPyranometer, IMOSPortPyranometerQC, IMOSUncorrWindSpeed, IMOSUncorrWindSpeedQC, IMOSUncorrWindDir, IMOSUncorrWindDirQC, rain, rainQC	10 seconds
ss2013_t04uwy5min.csv	Ditto 10 second data	5 minutes

References

Subversion repository version of DPG Matlab generic tools 3974

Pender, L., 2000. Data Quality Control flags.

http://www.marine.csiro.au/datacentre/ext_docs/DataQualityControlFlags. Pdf

Processed by: A Sarraf , CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia